

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 1827

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL REMAND ORDER

The transferee court in this litigation has advised the Panel that coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings in the action(s) on this conditional remand order have been completed and that remand to the transferor court(s), as provided in 28 U.S.C. §1407(a), is appropriate.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the action(s) on this conditional remand order be remanded to its/their respective transferor court(s).

IT IS ALSO ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 10.2 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the transmittal of this order to the transferee clerk for filing shall be stayed 7 days from the date of this order. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel. This order does not become effective until it is filed in the office of the Clerk for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 10.4(a), the parties shall furnish the Clerk for the Northern District of California with a stipulation or designation of the contents of the record to be remanded.

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

Inasmuch as no objection is
pending at this time, the
stay is lifted.

Oct 03, 2014

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 1827

SCHEDULE FOR CRO

TRANSFeree			TRANSFEROR			<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	
CAN	3	11-04119	NYE	1	11-02903	P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corporation et al v. AU Optronics Corporation et al
CAN	3	12-01426	NYE	1	12-00059	Neco Alliance LLC v. AU Optronics Corporation et al
CAN	3	11-03856	NYE	2	11-03168	Schultze Agency Services, LLC v. AU Optronics Corporation et al